

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

|                                |   |              |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------|
| DOUGLAS A. JONES and           | : | CIVIL ACTION |
| ANDREA M. JONES,               | : |              |
|                                | : |              |
| Plaintiffs,                    | : | NO. 07-4328  |
|                                | : |              |
| v.                             | : |              |
|                                | : |              |
| ABN AMRO MORTGAGE GROUP, INC., | : |              |
| et al.,                        | : |              |
|                                | : |              |
| Defendants.                    | : |              |

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 8<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008, upon consideration of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendants' Consolidated Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 158), and all responses and replies thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that the remaining parties (counsel and client or representative) in the above-captioned matter shall appear on February 13, 2008, at 4:30 p.m. in Courtroom 17A, United States Courthouse, to SHOW CAUSE as to the following:

1. Why the court should not now proceed to determine whether any pleading, motion, or other paper or exhibit filed with this court in the above-captioned matter is false or was fraudulently or intentionally deceptively filed so as to interfere with the administration of justice;<sup>1</sup> and

---

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Pls.' Opp'n to Mots. to Dismiss at 2 n.3 ("These acts are reflected in, among other things, numerous fraudulent and deceptive documents that several Defendants rely upon in their Rule 12 arguments and actually attach to their motion papers."); Mem. in Supp. of Defs.' Consol. Mot. to Dismiss at 6 ("In short, Plaintiffs intentionally confuse their loan transactions with Defendants with their subsequent transactions with the Snyder Companies in an effort to state a claim.").

2. Why the complaining party should not now be required to petition the court to impose the full array of sanctions available under Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 upon any attorney, law firm, or party who has knowingly made any false, fraudulent, or intentionally deceptive representations to the court and, as to any attorney, file a complaint with the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:

S/ James T. Giles

J.